1 WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 Case No. 4 (San Bernardino District Office) 5 Applicant, 6 VS. OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR 7 RECONSIDERATION 8 Defendants. 9 10 11 We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Reconsideration and the contents of the 12 report of workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) with respect thereto. Based on our 13 review of the record, and for the reasons stated in the WCJ's report which we adopt and incorporate, we 14 will deny reconsideration. 15 We have given the WCJ's credibility determinations great weight because the WCJ had the 16 opportunity to observe the demeanor of the witness. (Garza v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd. (1970) 3 Cal.3d 312, 318-319 [35 Cal.Comp.Cases 500, 504-505].) Furthermore, we conclude there is no 17 evidence of considerable substantiality that would warrant rejecting the WCJ's credibility 18 19 determinations. (Id.) 20 It is noted that the Report references applicant's treatment through his private health insurance for 21 the alleged compensable consequence injuries. Applicant's treatment through his own insurance is not 22 dispositive of whether those alleged conditions are industrially related. 23 111 24 111

25

26

27

111

111

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Reconsideration is DENIED.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

JOSÉ H. RAZO

I CONCUR,

KATHERINE WILLIAMS DODD

CHAIR

KATHERINE ZALEWSKI



DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

JAN 0 9 2020.

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

DIETZ AND GILMOR