			пинастичной при	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION			
2	WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD			
3			``	
4	Applicant,) Case No. Santa Ana District Office	
5))	
6) MINUTES OF HEARING	
7			AND SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE	
8	self-insured, admi	permissibly)))	
9))	
10		Defendant.)	
11			,))	
12			,	
13	DISTRICT OFFICE: Santa Ana LOCATION: Santa Ana, Ca DATE AND TIME: December 17,		fornia 19; 11:16 - 11:48 a.m.	
14			1:42 - 2:40 p.m.	
15	JUDGE: REPORTER:	The Honorable (A.M.)	Hearing Reporter	
16		(P.M.)	Hearing Reporter	
17	APPEARANCES:	Applicant present		
18		LAW OFFICES OF By:		
19	Attorney for Applicant			
20		DIETZ, GILMOR & CHAZEN By: ERIN WARD-DUGAN		
21		Attorney for De	•	
22		Interpreter, Ce	Spanish Language rtification	
23	WITNESSES:		Applicant	
24	DISPOSITION:	The matter is s	ubmitted for decision.	
25	(22 pp est. a.m./26 pp est. p.m.)			

1	STIPULATIONS AND ISSUES
2	
3	THE FOLLOWING FACTS ARE ADMITTED:
4	1. born 1983, while employed during the period from November 1, 2017 through
5	May 31, 2018, as a Logistics Team Member, Occupational Group Number 360, at Tustin, California, by
6	claims to have sustained injury arising out of and in the course of employment to her knees.
7	2. At the time of injury, the employer was
8	permissibly self-insured, administered for workers' compensation by
9	3. At the time injury, the applicant's earnings were \$288.77 per week, warranting indemnity rates of \$192.96 for temporary disability and \$160.00 per week for permanent
11	disability.
12	4. No medical treatment has been provided.
13	5. No attorney fees have been paid, and no attorney fee arrangements have been made.
15	THE FOLLOWING ARE THE ISSUES:
16	1. Injury arising out of and in the course of employment.
17 18	2. Other Issues:
19	a), Defendant asserts the doctrine of resjudicata as a bar to applicant's recovery in the present case.
20	
21	EXHIBITS
22	APPLICANT'S 1:
23	Medical report of dated 7-9-2019.
24	APPLICANT'S 2: Medical report of dated 7-10-2019.

1	APPLICANT'S 3:
2	Medical report of dated 8-13-2019.
3	Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 3 are entered into evidence without objection.
4	
5	LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT the Court is in receipt of
6 7	paper copies of defense exhibits. Defendant is an E-filer; therefore, defense counsel has been instructed to E-file all of Defense Exhibits A through J this afternoon when
8	counsel returns back to her office.
9	But for now, the Court will read these exhibits into the record, and absent any objections, the Court will accept
10	these exhibits into evidence.
11	
12	DEFENSE A: Order Approving Compromise and Release and Compromise and
13	Release Agreement dated 2-24-2014 (For
14	DEFENSE B: Compromise and Release Agreement and Order Approving Compromise and Release dated 12-6-2016.
15	
16	DEFENSE C: Compromise and Release Agreement and Order Approving Compromise
17	and Release dated 6-7-2018.
18	DEFENSE D: Subpoenaed records from dated 9-9-2019.
19	DEFENSE E:
20	personnel file dated 10-19-2017.
21	DEFENSE F: Employee Wage Statement dated 5-15-2019.
22	DEFENSE G:
23	AME report of M.D. dated 7-22-2015.
24	DEFENSE H: AME report of M.D. dated 11-30-2015.
25	
1	3

1	DEFENSE I: AME report of M.D. dated 3-8-2016.		
2			
3	DEFENSE J: Denial letter dated 5-17-2019.		
4	Defense Bubbbb & Abburuh T		
5	Defense Exhibits A through J are entered into evidence.		
6	THE WIF DECORD DEELECH WIND and continues		
7	LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT applicant's attorney elected not to ask any questions of the applicant on direct examination.		
8	* * * *		
9			
10	* * * *		
11			
12	(A.M. SESSION)		
13	SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE		
14			
15	was duly sworn to translate from English		
16	to Spanish, and from Spanish to English, to the best of her ability.		
17			
18	Applicant, was called, sworn, and		
19	testified through the Spanish Language Interpreter substantially as follows:		
20			
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUGAN:		
22	The applicant confirmed that she was initially hired by in 2005.		
23	It is noted, for the record, that the applicant has worked at approximately four different locations		
24	throughout her career of working for		
25			

The applicant confirmed that she did file her first workers' compensation case against in approximately 2013. She could not recall what body parts she claimed as being injured.

Defense counsel made reference to **Defense Exhibit A** which contains a copy of a C&R Agreement and an Order Approving C&R with reference to a CT injury ranging from 7-1-2008 through 6-13-2012. The applicant was asked if this date was correct. The applicant stated that she did not know.

With reference to the claimed body parts injured, defense counsel read the following body parts into the record:

Head, eye, shoulders, psyche, fingers, thighs, knees, hands, wrists, back, and neck. The applicant then confirmed that these claimed body parts sounded correct.

The applicant agreed that she stopped working for the first store in 2013. She initially stated that she stopped working for the store because she had moved to another state. She subsequently agreed that she had been terminate from her employment from this store.

The applicant stated that she had made a claim against for something. She was then advised that the social security number that she was utilizing was "false." Defense counsel pointed out that based on Defense Exhibit A which contains a copy of the Compromise and Release Agreement associated with her claim, the social security number that she used was

The applicant was asked if this was a social security number which had been issued to her by the U.S. government. The applicant said, "No:"

government. The applicant said, "No:"

 Defense counsel pointed out that the C&R Agreement states that the settlement sum is \$10,700, and that the date of the C&R Agreement and Order Approving C&R is 2-26-2014. The applicant did not disagree with these details.

The applicant confirmed that she then reapplied for work at a different location for This location was the store. She was asked whether she used a different name when she applied for employment at the store. The applicant initially said "No." Reference was made to Defense Exhibit B which contains a copy of a C&R Agreement and an Order Approving C&R. The name on the C&R Agreement is The name is not on the document. The applicant confirmed that this information sounded correct.

With reference to the C&R Agreement, it recites a social security number of The applicant stated that she could not recall what social security number she utilized with reference to the C&R Agreement. However, she did agree that this was not a social security number that was given to her by the U.S. government.

The applicant was asked how many claims she filed against the store in The applicant initially stated, "One." Reference was made to **Defense Exhibit**B which contains a copy of the C&R Agreement and the Order Approving C&R associated with the applicant's period of employment with the store. According to the C&R Agreement, the applicant actually filed four workers'

compensation claims. When asked whether this sounded correct, the applicant stated, "Correct."

Defense counsel indicated, for the record, that the first injury claim involves a CT injury ranging from 5-1-2013 through 5-1-2014 concerning the applicant's neck, wrists, hands, back, and multiple body parts. The applicant was asked if she could recall what multiple body parts were injured. The applicant stated that she could not recall.

With reference to the second injury claim, it is a CT injury claim ranging from 12-2-2013 through 10-7-2014 regarding the applicant's wrists and hand. The applicant indicated that these body parts sound correct.

With reference to the third injury claim, it is a specific injury for 12-1-2013 involving her wrists and hands, the applicant stated that this sounded correct to her.

With regard to the fourth injury claim, it is a CT injury claim ranging from 10-7-2014 through 1-25-2016 regarding the applicant's neck, wrists, hands, back, and multiple body parts. The applicant indicated that these body parts sounded correct, but she could not recall what would have been involved with the claim for multiple body parts.

The applicant indicated that after filing these four injury claims, she did stop working for She stated that she was terminated because she was advised that she had provided a "false social security number." The applicant agreed that the C&R sum regarding this matter was for \$4,000. The defense counsel indicated that the order approving C&R is dated 12-6-2016.

The applicant confirmed that she did apply at another location in This was the third location that she had worked for. She did file an injury claim regarding her work at this store in approximately 2016. Defense counsel referenced Defense Exhibit C which contains a Compromise and Release Agreement and Order Approving C&R which indicates that the applicant filed a CT injury claim ranging from 9-26-2616 through 9-26-2017. When asked whether this date of injury sounded correct, the applicant said, "Yes."

Defense counsel indicated that this injury claim involved the applicant's back, shoulder, and knee. The applicant was asked whether she received any medical treatment in connection with this injury claim. The applicant said, "No." The applicant was asked what social security number she used with reference to this case filing. The applicant stated that she did not know. Defense counsel indicated that this C&R document indicates a social security number of The applicant stated that this was not the social security number that had been given to her by the U.S. government.

The applicant stated that she stopped working at the store because she had been fired/terminated due to the fact that she had provided a "false social security number."

The applicant stated that she thought she received a C&R Agreement with reference to the case in the amount of \$9,500. Defense counsel made reference to Defense Exhibit C which contains a copy of the C&R Agreement and the Order Approving C&R. The lump sum settlement amount is \$10,000. The Order Approving C&R is dated 6-7-2018.

The applicant was asked whether she applied for employment at a different location after leaving the store location. The applicant stated that she did. The applicant stated that when she applied at the fourth location, she utilized the name of Defense counsel referenced to Defense Exhibit E which contains a copy of the personnel file regarding the fourth store location where the applicant worked. According to the documents, the applicant applied for employment under the name of It also indicates that she used the social

It also indicates that she used the social security number of The applicant stated that this was not a social security number that had been provided to her by the U.S. government.

The applicant was asked when she was hired by this fourth location for The applicant initially stated that it was approximately November 2018. Defense counsel made reference to Defense Exhibit N which contains a copy of a wage statement provided by This statement indicates that the applicant actually started employment at the fourth location on 10-19-2017.

The applicant stated that eventually she was store location. fired/terminated from this fourth She stated that she was fired because she "put a claim in for my injured knee." Reference was made to the applicant's personnel file which is contained in Defense Exhibit E. Defense counsel records indicate that the applicant pointed out that was terminated from her employment on 5-22-2018 as a result of job abandonment. The records indicate that the applicant had three "No Shows" for work on 5-14-2018, 5-16-2018, and 5-21-2018. The records indicate the applicant last worked at the store on 5-5-2018. The applicant stated that she did recall being terminated for job abandonment. She stated that due to pain in her right she abandoned her work for knee.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

P.M. SESSION

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Applicant, called as a witness on her own behalf, having been first duly sworn through the Spanish interpreter, also having been sworn, testified substantially as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUGAN:

The applicant stated that her right knee started hurting her after the accident, which is in reference to her present case regarding CT 11/01/17-5/31/2018.

The applicant initially stated that she hurt her right knee at the end of April 2017. She then corrected her response and stated that it was April 2018. The applicant explained that she was coming down the ladder; she slipped. As she slipped, she hit her right knee on the rung of the ladder.

Reference was made to Defense Exhibit C which contains a copy of a Compromise and Release Agreement and Order Approving Compromise and Release dated 6-7-2018.

With reference to these documents, at the time the applicant was represented by an attorney named

Defense counsel pointed out that this C&R related to a CT injury claim for the period 9-26-2016 through 9-26-2017 regarding her back, shoulder, and knee. The applicant indicated that this sounded correct to her. The applicant confirmed that she did injure her right knee in April of 2018 with reference to her work at the location.

The applicant stated that with regard to the abovementioned claim,, she did settle that injury claim six weeks after for her 4-2018 right knee injury claim.

When asked whether she told Mr. about the April 2018 right knee injury, the applicant stated that she did not because she did not want to have to leave her job at

The applicant stated that she understood that had a policy that if you fail to show for work on three occasions that an employee would be terminated.

The applicant did not seek any medical treatment regarding her right knee injury in 2018.

The applicant was asked whether she had any other gainful employment after leaving her employment with in approximately May 2018. The applicant declined to answer this question based upon her Fifth Amendment right under the U.S. Constitution. (Herein and after, Fifth Amendment right).

Defense counsel made reference to Defense Exhibit D, which contains subpoenaed records regarding the applicant from

The applicant was asked whether she ever worked for after leaving her employment with

The applicant did not answer and raised her Fifth Amendment right.

-18

Reference was again made to Defense Exhibit D.

Defense counsel indicated that records indicate that the applicant had a start of employment date of 8-15-2018. When asked to admit whether or not this was her correct start date, the applicant raised her Fifth Amendment right.

The applicant was asked whether she was still working for as of 9-22-2019. Again, the applicant raised her Fifth Amendment right and did not respond to the question.

Defense counsel referenced Defense Exhibit F, which is a wage statement which is current through 9-22-2019. The applicant was asked if whether prior to April 2018 she had a right knee problem and/or bilateral knee problems. The applicant initially said, "No." The applicant denied having any right knee problem prior to her April 2018 right knee incident above described.

The applicant recalled previously being examined by Dr. with regard to some prior workers' compensation cases that she had filed. She confirmed that she was honest with Dr. regarding telling him about her injured body parts in connection with those injury claims. She told him where she was feeling pain.

Reference was made to Defendant's Exhibit G which contains Dr. 7-22-2015 report. Defense counsel noted that at page 2 of the report, Dr. indicates that the applicant reported experiencing constant bilateral knee pain in 2015.

The applicant was then asked if she recalled having bilateral knee pain in 2015. The applicant said, "Yes." The applicant was then reasked whether she recalled having right knee pain or bilateral knee pain prior to April of 2018. The applicant said, "Yes."

The applicant stated that she never told her prior attorney about any of her recent employments. She did not tell him that she had been terminated from She did not tell him that she had a right knee injury in April of 2018.

The applicant stated that the C&R Agreement, which she signed when Mr. was representing her, is a document which she did read. The applicant stated that with reference to her present case that she first received medical treatment in approximately June of 2019. She confirmed that

this was about one year after she had been terminated from

The applicant sought treatment from Dr. who became her primary treating physician (PTP). The applicant was referred to Dr. by her attorney. The applicant stated that she gave him a complete medical history. When asked whether she told him about her approximately six prior workers' compensation cases against the applicant stated that she did not tell Dr. about these injury claims. This is because these cases were closed.

When asked whether Dr. asked her to provide him with a prior medical history, the applicant said, "No." When the applicant first saw Dr. she told him that she was having pain in her right knee due to the "knock" she had gotten on her right knee from the rung of the ladder. This is the incident that occurred in approximately April 2018.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY

The applicant does not understand what ADJ numbers are. The applicant indicated that she is aware that she settled some cases which contained four ADJ numbers, but she did not understand that these related to four different injuries or incident. In her mind, she felt that it was all just one big claim.

Applicant's counsel made reference to Defense Exhibit B which contains a Compromise and Release Agreement and an Order Approving dated 12-6-2016 which, in fact, settled four injury claims with four separate ADJ numbers.

The applicant does not have a social security number issued to her by the U.S. government. This is because she is not a legal resident of the United States.

The applicant has five children.

The applicant has used different social security numbers on her various C&R documents which have already been referenced in this matter because she did not have her own personally issued social security number.

The applicant confirmed that her right knee pain was at its maximum in approximately April 2018.

With regard to the ladder incident, which occurred in April 2018, the applicant did report it to her supervisor whose name is . The applicant stated that after this incident she did have problems with her right knee. She was unable to kneel on the floor due to her right knee pain.

The applicant explained that when she worked for in April of 2018, she worked in a warehouse. She put supplies away. She lifted boxes that weighed up to 45 pounds. She had to carry them from one place to another. She had to lift them and place them on shelves. She did tell her supervisor that she could not kneel on her right knee, but he told her she had to do her work.

The applicant stated that she did not get medical treatment for her right knee right away because she did not have money and she did not have a job. She does not have health insurance.

The applicant confirmed that she was referred to her present PTP Dr. by her present attorney. He told the applicant that she has injured tendons in her right knee. He did give her some injections in her right knee. She feels better as a result of these injections.

The applicant explained that she felt pain in her right knee immediately after her April 2018 ladder incident injury.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUGAN:

The applicant confirmed that she has filed multiple prior injury claims against When asked whether she understood how to hire an attorney, the applicant said, "No."

The applicant stated that with reference to the April 2018 ladder incident, other than reporting it to she did tell a coworker about it.

The applicant confirmed that she did not have any medical treatment until approximately one year after her April 2018 injury. The applicant stated that she decided to file an injury claim against in approximately May 2019 because she could not stand the right knee pain she was experiencing anymore.

There was no redirect.

1	* * * *
2	
3	
4	Workers L. Componention
5	Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge
6	
7	
8	Date dictated: December 17, 2019 Date returned to Judge: January 8, 220
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	13

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

01-09-2020

PROOF OF SERVICE

Minutes of Hearing and Summary of Evidence dated

December 17, 2019

Case Number:

DIETZ GILMOR LONG BEACH Law Firm, 249 E OCEAN BLVD STE 1000 LONG BEACH CA 90802, MARIAH@DGCATTORNEYS.COM

Law Firm,

Served on the above parties By:

On: January 9, 2020